# Guideline

## **Environmental Protection Act 1994**

## **Compliance under the EP Act**

This guideline provides an overview of compliance and enforcement by the Department of Environment, Science and Innovation (the department) under the Environmental Protection Act 1994 (the EP Act). This document is valid from 18 June 2024

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	3
Compliance and enforcement framework	3
Regulatory Strategy 2022-2027	4
Enforcement guidelines	4
Obligations (duties) under the EP Act	5
Investigation and enforcement	7
Authorised persons	8
Warnings	9
Statutory Tools	9
Delegations	9
Requirement to provide information, answer questions or produce documents	9
Notice requiring relevant information	9
Requirement to answer questions	10
Requirement to produce documents	10
Penalties for non-compliance	11
Emergency Direction	11
General information	11
What is an emergency?	12
What is an emergency direction?	12
Who can give an emergency direction?	12
How an emergency direction is initiated	12
Material and serious environmental harm	12
What are a reasonable action and a reasonable time?	13
What happens if a contaminant is released without an emergency direction?	13
Can a temporary emissions licence (TEL) be applied for requesting an emergency release	
Can a transitional environmental program (TEP) be applied for requesting an emergency re	elease?13
Environmental Enforcement Order	12



When can an EEO be issued	14
What are enforcement grounds	14
What is a prescribed person for a contamination incident	14
Chain of Responsibility Environmental Enforcement Order (CoRA EEO)	14
EEO content	15
Urgent requirement	16
Cost recovery by administering authority	16
When the costs incurred will not be payable	17
Penalties for non-compliance with a cost recovery notice	17
Environmental Evaluation	18
Environmental investigations	18
Site investigation report	19
Decisions about investigation reports and further actions	20
Recipient information	20
Penalties for non-compliance with an investigation notice	21
Transitional environmental programs	21
How is a TEP initiated?	21
When can the department require a TEP?	22
What is the effect of a TEP?	
How will I know if I am required to submit a TEP application?	22
What happens if I do not submit a TEP application after receiving a notice?	
How do I apply for a TEP?	23
Penalties and offences under the EP Act	23
Penalty infringement notices	23
Background	23
Operation	23
Summary	24
Court orders	24
Declarations	25
Restraint orders	25
Persistent offender order	25
Offences	26

#### Introduction

As the Queensland Government's environmental regulator, the department is responsible for managing and monitoring environmental risk through a range of assessment, compliance, investigation and enforcement programs. The department achieves this by providing targeted, consistent and transparent regulation that facilitates sustainable economic development in Queensland.

In fulfilling this role, the department is committed to meeting the expectations of the community to manage the health of the environment, as well as the expectations of industry to streamline approval processes and reduce the regulatory burden. It does this by administering a range of environmental regulations and laws, providing timely approvals, and ensuring compliance with those approvals.

The *Environmental Protection Act 1994* (the EP Act) is administered by the department and co-regulators, and it regulates environmental impacts from development, specific industries and other activities. The aim of the EP Act is to protect Queensland's environment while allowing for ecologically sustainable development (ESD). ESD is development that improves the total quality of life now and into the future, while maintaining important ecological processes that all life depends on for survival.

The EP Act regulates environmental impacts from development and other activities by:

- requiring specific industries and activities with high environmental risk to obtain relevant permits and licences;
- imposing a general environmental duty (GED) on all persons to prevent or minimise environmental harm and a duty to notify of environmental harm;
- imposing a duty to restore on all persons to take measures to restore the environment if an incident occurs that can cause environmental harm;
- enabling the department to monitor and enforce compliance with the EP Act via:
  - o entry, investigation and seizure powers for compliance officers;
  - o using compliance tools, such as statutory notices, to require specific actions;
  - o issuing penalty infringement notices (PINs); and
  - o prosecution.

This guideline provides practical information and guidance about how the department enforces compliance with the EP Act.

Current departmental compliance planning and priorities are outlined on the website. For more information refer to <a href="Compliance">Compliance and enforcement | Environment | Department of Environment, Science and Innovation, Queensland (desi.qld.gov.au)</a>

## Compliance and enforcement framework

The department's approach to ensuring compliance with its legislation is to:

- Disrupt non-compliance through industry education, community and market engagement and proactive compliance programs.
- Detect non-compliance by responding to community and industry notifications, conducting targeted inspections and monitoring industry through remote sending and other tools.
- Decide on appropriate compliance outcomes that are timely, fair and clearly understood by the person/entity it is being applied to.

 Deter non-compliance through industry engagement and application of meaningful enforcement to positively influence compliance behaviour.

The department identifies the areas where non-compliance with legislation poses the greatest risk to the environment and then takes targeted compliance action to reduce that risk. The department is transparent and publishes information about the areas it is focusing on and what it is doing about them.

The department acknowledges the growing importance of building an improved voluntary compliance culture within industry. To assist industry to improve its compliance practices, the department sets clear expectations about acceptable standards of environmental performance, as well as publishes guidance material and information about how to meet those expectations. This information assists industry to better understand its responsibilities in implementing good environmental practices and know what they need to do to meet their obligations.

For industry members who choose not to comply with their obligations, the department will be consistent in taking prompt, strong enforcement action. This action will demonstrate to industry and the broader community that there are consequences for poor performance. The department considers the performance of operators when planning for compliance programs each year. This information is combined with a range of other available information about the risks of particular activities to ensure that the department's proactive compliance activities are targeted.

Regular updates on the department's compliance activities will continue to be provided to the public via the department's website (<a href="www.desi.qld.gov.au">www.desi.qld.gov.au</a>). These updates highlight how the department has achieved its goals of protecting the environment, monitoring environmental performance, and enforcing compliance with the environmental laws. The department remains focused on its ongoing commitment to improve public accessibility to compliance information.

## Regulatory Strategy 2022-2027

The department's *Regulatory Strategy 2022-2027* (the Regulatory Strategy) outlines a regulatory approach that is risk-based, and outcome focused. The six focus areas for the Regulatory Strategy are:

- 1. Regulate proportionate risk
- 2. Assessment processes
- 3. Targeted compliance
- 4. Customer focus
- 5. Partnership, science, and innovation
- 6. Communication and engagement.

For more information, visit the *Regulatory Strategy* webpage: <u>Regulatory Strategy | Environment | Department of Environment, Science and Innovation, Queensland (desi.qld.gov.au)</u>.

## **Enforcement guidelines**

It is the goal of the department to build a culture of compliance where individuals, business and industry take responsibility for ensuring that their activities do not cause unlawful impacts to the environment. Nevertheless, some people fail to meet their obligations and commit a criminal offence against our environmental legislation. When the department finds that a person has broken the law, it will take action to bring the person back into compliance with its obligations. This may mean taking enforcement action in accordance with the department's enforcement guidelines.

Enforcement action can include warnings, statutory notices, penalty infringement notices, Enforceable

Undertakings and prosecutions. Where necessary to stop unlawful harm to the environment, the department will require someone to do, or not do, certain things to prevent harm from occurring. This may include stopping an activity or suspending an approval until the department is satisfied that the activity will be properly managed.

The <u>Enforcement Guidelines</u> (ESR/2021/5549)<sup>1</sup> apply to all legislation administered by the department and have been developed to ensure that the enforcement responses of the department are:

- proportionate to the conduct involved;
- · consistent with past responses to similar conduct; and
- · completed in a timely fashion.

These guidelines assist the department in choosing an enforcement response and inform those regulated by the department about the standards that are expected when their activities affect Queensland's natural assets.

For more information, visit the *Compliance and Enforcement* webpage: <u>Compliance and enforcement | Environment | Department of Environment, Science and Innovation, Queensland (desi.qld.gov.au).</u>

## Obligations (duties) under the EP Act

The EP Act outlines obligations and duties to prevent environmental harm, such as nuisances and contamination.

The three primary duties that apply to everyone in Queensland are:

- general environmental duty which means a person must not carry out any activity that causes or is likely to cause environmental harm, unless all reasonably practicable measures to prevent or minimise the harm have been taken; and
- duty to notify of environmental harm to inform the administering authority and landowner or
  occupier when an incident has occurred that may have caused or threatens serious or material
  environmental harm.
- **duty to restore** applies to a person causing or permitting, or who caused or permitted, an incident involving contamination of the environment to happen which results in unlawful environmental harm. As soon as reasonably practicable after the incident happens, the person must take measures, as far as reasonably practicable, to rehabilitate or restore the environment to its condition before the harm.

More information can be found on the Queensland Government's website <u>Meeting environmental obligations</u> and duties | Business Queensland.

What this means in very general terms is that everyone has a responsibility to ensure that whatever activity they are undertaking is undertaken with whatever actions necessary to prevent any environmental harm. If there is an incident, then you may have a duty to notify the department. You also have a responsibility to clean up the incident as much as possible without further inflicting environmental harm, and without the department having to tell you to.

NOTE: A 'person' includes an individual and a body of persons, whether incorporated or unincorporated.

#### Environmental harm

Section 14 of the EP Act defines *environmental harm* as any adverse effect, or potential adverse effect (whether temporary or permanent and of whatever magnitude, duration, or frequency) on an environmental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the publication number. The publication number can be used as a search term to find the latest version of a publication at <a href="www.desi.qld.gov.au">www.desi.qld.gov.au</a>

value, and includes environmental nuisance.

It may be caused by an activity whether the harm is a direct result of the activity or whether the harm results from the activity alone or from the combined effects of the activity and other activities or factors.

An environmental value is defined in s9 of the EP Act to be:

- a quality or physical characteristic of the environment that is conducive to ecological health; or
- a quality or physical characteristic of the environment that is conducive to public health, safety or amenity; or
- a quality or physical characteristic of the environment that contributes to its biological diversity and integrity, intrinsic or attributed scientific value or interest, amenity, harmony and sense of community; or
- another quality of the environment identified and declared to be an environmental value under an environmental protection policy or regulation.

#### Material environmental harm

Section 16 of the EP Act defines material environmental harm as environmental harm:

- · that is not trivial or negligible in nature, extent, or context; or
- that causes actual or potential loss or damage to property of an amount of, or amounts totalling, more than the threshold amount but less than the maximum amount; or
- that results in costs of more than the threshold amount but less than the maximum amount being
  incurred in taking appropriate action to
  - o prevent or minimise the harm; and
  - o rehabilitate or restore the environment to its condition before the harm.
- The chief executive ensures the threshold amount calculated under this definition *threshold amount*<sup>2</sup>, is published on the department's website during the financial year to which it relates.

## Serious environmental harm

Section 17 of the EP Act defines serious environmental harm as environmental harm:

- · that is irreversible, of a high impact or widespread; or
- caused to an area of high conservation value or special significance, such as the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area;
- that causes actual or potential loss or damage to property of an amount of, or amounts totalling, more than the *threshold amount*; or
- that results in costs of more than the *threshold amount* being incurred in taking appropriate action to:
  - o prevent or minimise harm; and

(a) for the financial year ending 30 June 2024— \$10,740; or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> threshold amount means—

<sup>(</sup>b) for a later financial year—the threshold amount for the financial year immediately preceding the later financial year (the *previous financial year*) increased by the consumer price index for the previous financial year. This amount is published on the department's website.

- rehabilitate or restore the environment to its condition before harm.
- The chief executive ensures the threshold amount calculated under this definition *threshold amount*<sup>3</sup>, is published on the department's website during the financial year to which it relates.

## Investigation and enforcement

Compliance inspections play an important role in helping the department monitor compliance with the EP Act. A compliance inspection is a systematic, independent and documented assessment of an activity or incident, and may include:

- physical inspections of various parts of a site;
- recording of observations, such as photos, video, audio or GPS locations;
- collection of evidence, such as taking samples of water/soil/contaminants for further analysis or copies
  of documents and records relevant to the activity; and
- in-field interviews conducted by an authorised person, in relation to alleged offences under the EP Act.

For activities that are licensed under the EP Act, such as an environmentally relevant activity (ERA) authorised under an environmental authority (EA), compliance inspections are carried out to determine if licence conditions or other legal obligations are being complied with and to monitor performance of how the environmental risks of the activity are being managed.

There are a number of other reasons why the department carries out compliance inspections, including the following:

- Inspections can reveal strategic or systemic issues with a particular industry or operation and can allow the department to address those issues before they become larger problems.
- They increase the department's profile in the community and demonstrate that the department is
  actively managing activities that pose a threat to environmental values. This increased profile can also
  deter other people from breaching their obligations. For example, undertaking inspections at potentially
  unlicensed premises.

Compliance inspections are fact-finding exercises, which aim to gather information that allows the department to fulfil its regulatory role. Inspections are not tools designed to penalise bad behaviour; however, enforcement action may result from an inspection where operators are not complying with their environmental standards.

Compliance inspections are either proactive or reactive.

Proactive compliance inspections are planned in advance and focused on monitoring and education. Proactive inspections are not typically expecting to identify non-compliance; however, if non-compliance is identified and an authorised person has formed a reasonable belief (e.g. based upon their knowledge, observations and/or available information) that an offence has been committed, the relevant individual(s) legally responsible for the activity must be given a caution at that point in the inspection. This will only apply where the entity being inspected is an individual and may not apply where the entity is a corporation.

Reactive compliance inspections are carried out when there is reasonable suspicion that an offence has been

(a) for the financial year ending 30 June 2024— \$107,400; or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> threshold amount means—

<sup>(</sup>b) for a later financial year—the threshold amount for the financial year immediately preceding the later financial year (the *previous financial year*) increased by the consumer price index for the previous financial year. This amount is published on the department's website.

committed; for example, in response to receiving a complaint from the community. Reactive inspections are focused on evidence gathering in relation to the alleged offence and therefore an authorised person should caution the relevant person(s) legally responsible for the activity or incident as soon as arriving at site. The privilege of self-incrimination (right to silence) only applies to an individual and does not apply to a corporate entity; as such, if the authorised officers are dealing with a person speaking on behalf of the company, a caution is not required. If the person is speaking for both themselves and the company, then the authorised officer will need to separate questions so that they apply to the person as an individual under caution and the person as the company representative without caution and potentially under requirement.

Operational support for officers can include the use of body worn cameras and Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (drones).

## **Authorised persons**

Under the EP Act, an *authorised person* is a person who has been appointed by the chief executive of the department under s445, enabling them to perform certain functions and exercise particular powers under the EP Act. For simplicity, throughout this guideline an *authorised person* will be referred to as an *authorised officer* (AO), as the department recognises this is a commonly used term within the community.

#### An AO can be:

- an appropriately qualified public service officer;
- an employee of the department; or
- another person declared by regulation.

Appointments are only made if the chief executive is of the opinion that the person has the necessary expertise or experience. The powers of an AO under the EP Act are limited to the individual AO's instrument of appointment, which is the legal document that sets out the powers the individual AO has been authorised to exercise under the EP Act and any conditions or limits on those powers.

In addition to any powers granted by Chapter 9 of the EP Act, AOs retain the same rights as a member of the public, including liberty to go where a member of the public can go, and the freedom to speak to people. This includes the right to enter and be on public land and private land, provided a business is being conducted on the private land which is open to the public (e.g. a shopping centre). In relation to private premises, in the absence of an indication (e.g. a sign) that the public are not to enter, persons can lawfully enter the property, but not enter any dwelling, in order to attempt to contact the occupiers of the property (i.e. a person may walk up to the front door of a house and knock). If there is a sign indicating public are not to enter then entry by the AO will be subject to the powers under the EP Act.

AOs are issued with identity cards. Before exercising a power under the EP Act, the AO must first produce their identity card for inspection by the person they are speaking to or have their identity card displayed so that it is clearly visible. If, for any reason, it is not practicable to produce or display their identity card, the AO must produce the identity card for inspection by the person at the first reasonable opportunity.

An AO (or another person acting under an AO's direction) is protected from civil liability provided they act honestly and without negligence under the EP Act.

For more information on the general powers of entry, investigation and seizure that can be exercised by AOs under the EP Act, please refer to the *Powers of Authorised Persons Guideline* (ESR/2016/2276).

AOs have additional powers in an emergency. An AO may direct any person to take specified reasonable action within a specified reasonable time, or take the action, or authorise another person to take the action if they are

satisfied on reasonable grounds that an emergency exists. For further information on the powers of AOs in emergencies, see the Emergency powers section of this guideline.

For more information, please see the *Powers of Authorised Persons Guideline* (ESR/2016/2276).

## Warnings

The department has a wide range of enforcement measures available for managing compliance with the legislation it administers. These enforcement measures include the discretion to issue verbal warnings and warning letters in response to breaches of legislation.

The majority of minor non-compliances can be dealt with by way of educating the community and industry regarding their environmental obligations. Warnings are an effective means to ensure that a person is made aware of their responsibilities. A warning is suitable for minor instances of non-compliance where the warning is likely to encourage voluntary and prompt compliance. To determine the seriousness of the non-compliance, the department will consider:

- the objectives of the relevant legislation, including the type of harm the offence provision is designed to deter or prevent;
- the actual or potential harm or impact of the offence;
- the level of culpability of the alleged offender; and
- the department's Enforcement Guidelines.

The issue of a warning should generally be confined to an alleged offence where:

- a PIN or other enforcement tool is not considered appropriate;
- the offence/non-compliance is a one-off situation;
- the offence/non-compliance is considered minor or based on a technicality;
- the operator is otherwise compliant;
- the operator has not previously been issued with a PIN or a warning for a similar offence;
- the harm or potential harm to the environment is considered minimal; and the issue of a warning is likely to be a deterrent.

## **Statutory Tools**

## **Delegations**

The decision to issue a statutory tool must be made by the Chief Executive or a person with the delegated authority to do so. Decisions made by individuals who do not have the delegated authority to make the decision will be invalid.

Each administering authority is responsible for its own delegations. As delegations can change over time, it is important that officers check their delegation prior to making an enforcement decision.

## Requirement to provide information, answer questions or produce documents

## Notice requiring relevant information

Under s451 of the EP Act, the department can issue a notice to a person and require them to give information

that is relevant to the administration or enforcement of the EP Act. The department can issue this notice if it suspects on reasonable grounds that the person:

- has knowledge of a relevant matter; or
- is in possession or control of a document that is relevant to the matter.

A notice under s451 will state:

- the person to whom it is issued;
- the information required;
- the time within which the information is to be given to the department;
- why the information is required; and
- the review or appeal details.

## Requirement to answer questions

Under s465 of the EP Act, an AO can require a person to answer questions if the AO suspects on reasonable grounds that:

- an offence against the EP Act has happened; and
- a person may be able to give information about the offence.

When exercising this power, an AO can:

- require a person to answer a question about the suspected offence (this can include requiring a verbal response on the spot, for example during a field interview); or
- issue a written notice that requires the person to attend a particular place, at a particular time, to answer questions about the suspected offence (a formal interview); or
- issue a written notice to a corporation and require them to nominate a representative (the corporation's representative) who is authorised to provide answers about the suspected offence; and
- subsequently issue a written notice requiring the corporation's representative to attend a formal interview to answer questions<sup>4</sup> about the suspected offence.

A notice under s465(2) or (4) of the EP Act will state:

- · the person to whom it is issued;
- · identify the suspected offence;
- state that the authorised person believes the person may be able to give information about the suspected offence; and
- include a warning that it is an offence to fail to comply with the requirement, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.

## Requirement to produce documents

Under s466 of the EP Act, an AO may require a person to produce a document for inspection that is required to be held or kept under:

- · the EP Act; or
- a development condition of a development approval;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Act states that an answer given by a corporation's representative binds the corporation.

- an agricultural ERA standard that applies to an agricultural ERA or
- a recognised accreditation program for an agricultural ERA.

An AO can keep a document produced by a person for the purpose of taking an extract from it or making a copy of the document. An AO must return the document to the person as soon as practicable after taking the extract or making the copy.

#### Penalties for non-compliance

Failing to comply with a notice under s451 of the EP Act requiring relevant information is an offence unless the person has a reasonable excuse. It is a reasonable excuse for an individual if complying with the notice might tend to incriminate the individual.

Failing to answer questions, nominate a corporation's representative or attend at a stated place at a stated time to answer questions under s465 of the EP Act is an offence unless the person has a reasonable excuse. It is a reasonable excuse for an individual if answering the question might tend to incriminate the individual.

Failing to produce a document under s466 of the EP Act is an offence unless the person has a reasonable excuse.

It is important to note that the privilege of self-incrimination does not apply:

- to s466 as this is a document that the operator was required to keep and make available; or
- where the requirement is given to a corporation.

## **Emergency Direction**

Definitions for this section:

- A contaminant is defined in s11 of the EP Act.
- A contamination incident is defined in s360 of the EP Act as—
  - (a) an incident involving contamination of the environment that the administering authority is satisfied has caused or is likely to cause serious or material environmental harm; or
  - (b) the carrying out of an activity on contaminated land, the happening of an event on contaminated land, or a change in the condition of contaminated land that the administering authority is satisfied has caused or is likely to cause other land to become contaminated land; or
  - (c) a combination of matters mentioned in paragraph (a) or (b).
- The Acts Interpretation Act 1954 states that the singular includes the plural, so a contamination incident
  may in fact be a series of incidents that together or separately caused or are likely to cause serious or
  material environmental harm.
- A prescribed person for a contamination incident is defined in s361 of the EP Act.

A **prescribed responsible person** for land<sup>5</sup> is defined in the Schedule 4 Dictionary of the EP Act.

#### **General information**

Where an emergency arises, authorised persons have a number of powers which may deal with the emergency

(a) the airspace above land; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *land* includes—

<sup>(</sup>b) land that is, or is at any time, covered by waters; and

<sup>(</sup>c) waters.

under Chapter 9 Part 4 – Emergency Powers of authorised persons. There are further powers which are designed to specifically address emergency situations; for example, if a toxic waste storage tank ruptures, then action will likely be required immediately to mitigate the damage. Section 467 of the EP Act provides authorised persons with the powers to act immediately in emergency situations.

## What is an emergency?

The Act states that an 'emergency' exists if:

- either human health or safety is threatened; or serious or material environmental harm has been or is likely to be caused; and
- · urgent action is necessary to:
  - protect the health or safety of persons;
  - prevent or minimise the harm; or
  - o rehabilitate or restore the environment because of the harm.

## What is an emergency direction?

Where an authorised person is satisfied on reasonable grounds that an emergency exists, they may take or direct someone to take stated action. An emergency direction is a direction to a person to take stated reasonable action within a stated reasonable time, including releasing a contaminant into the environment. Alternatively, an authorised person may take the action or authorise another person to take the action. It is important to note that an emergency direction can only be issued for an emergency that is actually occurring. It is not appropriate to issue an emergency direction to deal with an anticipated emergency event.

The legislative provisions relating to emergency powers can be found in Chapter 9, Part 4 of the EP Act.

#### Who can give an emergency direction?

An emergency direction may be given by an authorised person.

## How an emergency direction is initiated

The decision to give an emergency direction may be initiated proactively by the department in the event that it considers that an emergency exists, and that the emergency requires a reasonable action to be taken, including the release of a contaminant.

A client (individual or company) can also contact the department and request that an emergency direction be given to them. For example, a direction may require the person to release a contaminant into the environment in order to protect the health or safety of persons.

If time permits, clients are encouraged to contact the department prior to requesting an emergency direction so that they can fully understand the information that they must provide.

As a direction is given in an emergency, the requirements to consider are whether either human health or safety is threatened and being satisfied an emergency exists.

#### Material and serious environmental harm

The department considers whether the harm is material or serious and the context of the harm is considered together with any long-term effects of the harm. Where the consequences of the harm are uncertain, the precautionary principle is used to help determine the level of harm from the enforcement guidelines. The principles of environmental protection, defined in s6A of the EP Act, are also considered. This means that when

the health of humans and the environment is at risk, it may not be necessary to wait for scientific certainty to take protective action.

#### What are a reasonable action and a reasonable time?

An emergency direction may allow environmental harm to occur which would otherwise be unlawful. An authorised person will sufficiently investigate the facts and circumstances surrounding the situation to justify the decision to issue an emergency direction. This action would be weighed for the safety or human health against the environment.

A request by persons seeking an emergency direction may be considered by the department. Decisions to give an emergency direction will be made once the department has all necessary information to make a sound judgement based on all known facts and the legislation. The time taken to process requests will be dependent on the complexity and urgency of the matter. Applicants will be kept informed on the progress and outcomes of their applications on a regular basis.

Urgency is a key element of whether an emergency exists. The Macquarie Dictionary provides a definition of urgent as being 'pressing; compelling or requiring immediate action or attention; imperative'. Where an emergency direction has been given, the time within which the action must be taken will be appropriate in light of what the action requires and the inherent risks to safety or human health.

## What happens if a contaminant is released without an emergency direction?

In the event that a contaminant is released without an emergency direction, and the release causes unlawful environmental harm, an offence has been committed. The person that causes the release may have a duty to notify the administering authority. Penalties may apply. See the *Offences* section of this guideline. The person that caused the release also has a duty to restore the environment.

## Can a temporary emissions licence (TEL) be applied for requesting an emergency release?

A person may apply, under s357B of the EP Act, for a TEL only if the person is an EA or TEP holder. The term 'person' includes an individual, public authority or corporation. A TEL is a permit that temporarily relaxes or modifies specified conditions of an EA or a TEP to allow the release of a contaminant into the environment in response to an applicable event. For more information, read the <u>Temporary emissions licence guideline</u> (ESR/2015/1724).

## Can a transitional environmental program (TEP) be applied for requesting an emergency release?

A TEP is not an appropriate tool for an emergency direction to release a contaminant. A TEP is a regulatory tool under the EP Act and is used by the department to either reduce environmental harm or move an activity through transition from non-compliance to compliance with an environmental authority or other instrument. TEPs are used over a period of time longer than what an emergency release and actions to rectify under an emergency direction would allow.

#### **Environmental Enforcement Order**

An Environmental Enforcement Order (EEO) is an order that may be issued by the department to impose one or more requirements upon a person in circumstances outlined in s362 or s326H(c) of the EP Act.

An EEO is a suitable enforcement tool to be applied when the department understands the nature and extent of the requirements needed to cease the commission of an offence and/or respond to any contamination or environmental harm that may have been caused.

An environmental investigation (EI) is generally more appropriate where the department seeks to determine

what is causing harm and what needs to be done to rectify it. This is then used to inform the most suitable statutory tool, such as an EEO or TEP.

#### When can an EEO be issued

An EEO can be issued in one or more of the following circumstances:

- Where the administering authority's delegate believes an enforcement ground exists (s362(1)). NOTE: This type of EEO is only available to a local government (LG) administering authority to the extent that the ground is relevant to a machinery or devolved provision. The general environmental duty and the duty to restore are available to a LG in so far as an enforcement ground. The offences under s319B and s319C are not available to a LG.
- Where the administering authority believes a person to be a prescribed person for a contamination incident (s362(2)(a)). NOTE: This type of EEO is <u>not</u> available to a local government administering authority as this relates to contaminated land.
- Where the person is a related person of a company that has received an EEO (other than as a
  prescribed person for a contamination incident) or of a high-risk company. These are generally referred
  to as CoRA EEOs (s362(2)(b)). NOTE: This type of EEO is not available to a local government
  administering authority.
- Where the administering authority accepts an Environmental Report as part of an Environmental Audit or Environmental Investigation (s326(H)(c)).

#### What are enforcement grounds

Section 359 of the EP Act defines the meaning of enforcement grounds. Prior to issuing an EEO under s362(1), the administering authority's delegate must be satisfied that one or more of the enforcement grounds exist. It is important to note that this only relates to circumstances where the EEO is being issued under an enforcement ground. An EEO may still be issued if an enforcement ground is not satisfied; however, one of the other circumstances outlined in s362(2) or s326(H)(c) applies.

## What is a prescribed person for a contamination incident

Under s362(2)(a) of the EP Act, the administering authority may issue an EEO where the delegate believes a person to be a prescribed person for a contamination incident. This EEO is intended to make those who caused or are responsible (such as the occupier of the place) for the contamination to take action to cease any further impacts and where appropriate rehabilitate, remediate or restore the land.

A contamination incident is defined in s360 of the EP Act, but is broadly described as contamination that has caused or is likely to cause serious or material environmental harm, or something occurring on contaminated land that has caused other land to be contaminated.

A prescribed person for a contamination incident is defined in s361 of the EP Act and is the person responsible for the contamination, noting that it could be the occupier of the place where the contamination occurred. It also includes a parent corporation or executive officer of the responsible corporation where an EEO was issued to that first corporation, and that EEO was not complied with. A prescribed person is not to be confused with a prescribed responsible person which is defined in Schedule 4 of the EP Act.

## Chain of Responsibility Environmental Enforcement Order (CoRA EEO)

The term CoRA stands for the *Environmental Protection (Chain of Responsibility) Amendment Act 2016* which amended the EP Act to include new powers for a statutory order to be issued to a *related person* of a company. The full definition of a related person of a company can be found in s369N of the EP Act.

There are two scenarios when a CoRA EEO can be issued:

- if an EEO is issued to a company, or has been already issued to a company and remains in force, a CoRA EEO can be issued to a related person of the company; or
- if a company meets the definition of a *high-risk company*, a CoRA EEO can be issued to a related person of a high-risk company, regardless of whether an EEO had been issued to the same company.

For more detailed information about CoRA EEO, related persons, and when the department will consider issuing a CoRA EEO, refer to the <u>Issuing 'chain of responsibility' environmental protection orders under Chapter 7, Part 5, Division 2 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 Guideline (ESR/2017/3479).</u>

It is important to note that both an EEO and a CoRA EEO can also be issued in the circumstances where the company does not operate under a licence or permit issued by the department, such as an EA.

#### **EEO** content

An EEO must meet a number of legislative requirements in order to be legally binding. Section 360 of the EP Act states that an EEO:

- must be in the form of a written notice (ESR/2016/2212);
- must specify to whom the order is issued;
- must state details about the relevant matter for the order;
- for an EEO relating to a prescribed person for a contamination incident, it must state:
- a description of the contamination incident; and
- the place at or from which the incident is happening or has happened; and
- state the action the recipient must take to remedy or address the matter and the time by which the action must be taken;
- must state the review or appeal details;
- must state that it is an offence to fail to comply with the order unless the person has a reasonable excuse;
- must state the name, address and contact details of the administering authority;
- must be served on the recipient.
- may include any information that the administering authority considered appropriate.

Section 366(1)(e) of the EP Act allows the administering authority to impose actions on the recipient to remedy or otherwise address the relevant matter for the EEO. For each action imposed, the administering authority must state the time by which the action is must be taken. Without limiting those requirements, s367 provides that the EEO may:

- require the recipient to not start, or stop, a stated activity indefinitely, for a stated period or until further notice from the administering authority; or
- require the recipient to carry out a stated activity only during stated times or subject to stated conditions;
- state the reasonable steps necessary to address the matter, such as clean up, fix or rectify harm; or
- state the reasonable steps necessary to avoid contravention or further contravention.

Where the EEO is issued to secure compliance with the duty to restore or on a prescribed person for a contaminated incident, the administering authority require the recipient to take action to:

- prevent or minimise contamination, such as contain, remove, disperse or destroy the contaminants;
- rehabilitate or restore the environment because of the incident, including by taking steps to mitigate or remedy the effects of the incident;
- assess the nature and extent of the environmental harm, or the risk of further environmental harm, from the incident, including by inspecting, sampling, recording, measuring, calculating, testing or analysing;
- keep the administering authority informed about the incident or the actions taken under the order, including by giving to the administering authority stated reports, plans, drawings or other documents.

The timeframe placed on the action must be reasonable, having regard to:

- the nature of the action that must be taken;
- the risk to human health or the environment, or risk of loss or damage to property, posed by the relevant matter; and
- how long the person has been aware of the relevant matter, for example, because an authorised person has previously made an oral requirement that the relevant matter be remedied or otherwise addressed.

This means that there will be circumstances where the requirement is to require immediate action, for example in order to prevent risk to human health or the environment. There will be other circumstances where it is more appropriate to provide a longer, reasonable period to achieve the stated action.

## **Urgent requirement**

There may be circumstances where it is not practicable to require a person to take action and issue an EEO, despite there being grounds for the EEO. In those circumstances, the delegate may make the requirement orally, which must then be confirmed by the EEO as soon as practicable. This may arise where the grounds for an emergency direction have not been met; however, it is necessary to require immediate action to remedy a relevant matter. A record of the date, time, place, details of the requirement, recipient and delegate must be documented.

#### Cost recovery by administering authority

The administering authority, other than a devolved local government, may issue a cost recovery notice to the recipient of an EEO where:

- the recipient has failed to comply with the order and an authorised person or contractor acting under the direction of the authorised person pursuant to s369F takes the action; or
- the operation of the EEO is stayed under the EP Act, and:
  - the authorised person or contractor acting under direction of the authorised person pursuant to s369F has taken the action during the stay; and
  - o the appeal ends with no decision or the requirement was confirmed, and the effect was for the same purpose.

The administering authority may also issue a cost recovery notice to a prescribed person for a contamination incident, where an authorised person has issued an emergency direction and the authorised person acts in relation to the environmental harm caused or likely to be caused.

The cost recovery notice for an EEO may claim an amount for the costs or expenses reasonably incurred for

taking the action stated in the notice and monitoring compliance by the recipient.

The cost recovery notice for the relevant emergency direction may claim an amount for costs and expenses reasonably incurred by the authorised person or persons acting under the direction for taking the action.

Costs and expenses include labour, equipment and administrative costs, as well as expenses.

The cost recovery notice is not payable if:

- issued to the recipient of an EEO for a prescribed person for a contamination incident where the contamination incident was caused by natural causes, sabotage, terrorist act or similar, and the recipient took reasonable measures to prevent the incident.
- a corporation has not complied with the EEO and the parent corporation was subsequently issued with an EEO under s361(d)(i), and the parent corporation took all reasonable steps to ensure the original corporation paid the debt.
- a corporation has not complied with the EEO and the executive officer was subsequently issued with an EEO under s361(d)(ii), and the executive officer took all reasonable steps to ensure the original corporation paid the debt or the executive officer was not in a position to influence the original corporation to pay the debt.

NOTE: These cost recovery provisions are not available to local governments.

#### When the costs incurred will not be payable

There are certain circumstances when the amount claimed is not payable, as specified in s369K(2) of the EP Act. These include:

- if the recipient of the cost recovery notice is not a prescribed person for the contamination incident;
- if the contamination incident was caused by a natural disaster and the recipient had taken all reasonable measures to prevent the incident having regard to all the circumstances including the inherent nature of the risk and the probability of the natural disaster; or
- if the contamination incident was caused by a terrorist act or other deliberate act of sabotage by someone other than the recipient and, taking all of the circumstances onto account, the recipient had taken all reasonable measures to prevent the incident.

To the extent that the recipient pays an amount in compliance with the cost recovery notice but did not cause or permit the contamination incident to happen, the recipient may recover the amount as a debt from another person who caused or permitted the contamination incident to happen.

#### Penalties for non-compliance with a cost recovery notice

If the recipient does not pay the amount in the cost recovery notice within 30 days after the notice is issued, the department may claim the amount from the recipient as a debt. The recipient may be subject to other civil action.

The department may issue a written notice to recoup costs and expenses incurred:

- if the recipient of a clean-up notice fails to comply with the notice and the department takes the action specified in the clean-up notice;
- in monitoring the compliance of the recipient with the provisions of the clean-up notice; or
- in taking an action under the department's emergency powers provided for in Chapter 9, Part 4 of the EP Act.

Issuing a cost recovery notice is only appropriate where the department has acted on behalf of a recipient or prescribed person under a clean-up notice or emergency direction. It enables the department to recover both external and internal costs if there was a non-compliance with a notice or direction. This would include the cost of an authorised person's time spent managing the post-incident environmental clean-up.

#### **Environmental Evaluation**

Ecological processes and environmental interactions are highly complex. Such complexity can make environmental decision making difficult. Environmental evaluations provide an important way of gaining environmental information so that rational and informed decisions can be made.

An environmental evaluation is a compliance tool designed to evaluate an activity or event, or contamination of land, in order to facilitate a solution to the problem.

Environmental evaluations are best used when the cause, nature or extent of a problem or the solution to a problem is not known. They answer the questions 'what is happening', and 'what is the solution?' Environmental evaluations require the person responsible for the problem to investigate (or commission someone to investigate) the problem and submit a report to the department. They do not in themselves fix the problem, but they give the department the information needed to then decide what needs to be done about the problem.

The legislative provisions relating to environmental evaluations can be found in ss321–329 of the EP Act. The Act provides that an environmental evaluation is used to decide:

- the source, cause or extent of <u>environmental harm</u> being caused, or likely to be caused, by an activity or event; or
- the source, cause, or extent of contamination of the land being caused, or likely to be caused; and
- the need for a site management plan or site remediation; and
- the source, cause or extent of any contamination to the surrounding land or environment, caused or likely to be caused by the contamination; and
- any environmental harm being caused, or likely to be caused, by the contamination.

Environmental evaluations are described in s321 of the EP Act. There are two types of environmental evaluations, an environmental audit and an environmental investigation.

A person given a notice to conduct or commission an environmental evaluation must conduct or commission an environmental audit or environmental investigation into the matters stated in the notice and submit a report on that evaluation to the department. The report is referred to as an **environmental report** in the EP Act; however, in this guideline the type of reports are further categorised into an **audit report** or an **investigation report**.

The information in an audit report or investigation report is often used by the department to decide the best method for the person responsible for environmental harm or the potential harm to remedy the situation. This can include deciding whether to take further action such as:

- amending an environmental authority (EA);
- issuing another statutory notice such as an environmental enforcement order (EEO) to address the problem; or
- other compliance action as required to address the problem.

## **Environmental investigations**

Environmental investigations are separated into two categories:

- Environmental investigation environmental harm; and
- Environmental investigation contamination of land.

## Environmental investigation - environmental harm

Under s326B of the EP Act, the department may issue a written notice (an *investigation notice*) to a person responsible for an activity (i.e. a person who has carried out, is carrying out or is proposing to carry out, the activity), if the department is satisfied on reasonable grounds that either:

- an event causing environmental harm has occurred while an activity was being carried out, or
- an activity or proposed activity is causing, or is likely to cause, environmental harm.

The investigation notice can require the person to:

- conduct or commission an environmental investigation about the event or activity; and
- give the department an investigation report.

NOTE: activity includes rehabilitation, restoration or remediation work.

## Environmental investigation – contamination of land

Under s326BA of the EP Act, the department may issue an investigation notice for land that is recorded in the environmental management register (EMR) or contaminated land register (CLR), if the department is:

- satisfied or suspects on reasonable grounds that the hazardous contaminant contaminating the land has the potential to cause serious or material environmental harm, and
- satisfied that a person, animal or another part of the environment may be exposed to the hazardous contaminant, whether or not it is on the land, then the department may issue an investigation notice.

This notice is issued to a *prescribed responsible person*<sup>6</sup> for the land, requiring them to:

- conduct or commission an investigation about the contamination or potential contamination of the land;
   and
- give the department a **site investigation report** prepared in accordance with the contaminated land investigation document (CLID) requirements in Chapter 7, Part 8, Division 3 of the EP Act.

#### Site investigation report

The site investigation report must be submitted to the department using the <u>Contaminated land investigation</u> <u>document – approved form</u> (ESR/2023/6339) (the CLID form). The CLID form is also available at <u>Resources |</u> <u>Environment, land and water | Queensland Government (www.gld.gov.au)</u>.

Conducting a site investigation for contaminated land and preparing a site investigation report under are regulatory functions that must be carried out by a **Suitably Qualified Person** (SQP), as defined in ss564-565 of the EP Act. A SQP must have qualifications and experience relevant to the work being undertaken and must be a current member of a professional organisation prescribed under Schedule 14 of the Environmental Protection Regulation 2019. The site investigation report must be accompanied by a declaration by the SQP. This declaration is now contained within the CLID. For further information about how to engage a suitably qualified person or an auditor, go to Contaminated land | Environment, land and water | Queensland Government (www.qld.gov.au).

Under s389(4) of the EP Act, the site investigation report must be certified by an **auditor** for contaminated land,

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See definition in Schedule 4 of the EP Act

verifying that the site investigation report prepared by the SQP meets the requirements of s389(2) of the EP Act. The certification must include a declaration by the auditor, in accordance with s574C of the EP Act. The <a href="Queensland Auditor Handbook for Contaminated Land">Queensland Auditor Handbook for Contaminated Land</a> is the primary guideline for Auditors and those seeking to become an Auditor. Contaminated Land Auditors are approved by the department and must act in accordance with the *Code of professional conduct* (Module 4 of the handbook). The auditor's certification and declaration are now contained within the CLID form.

Please note that the department will not require an environmental investigation for contaminated land in cases where land is subject to a site management plan for the contamination and the conditions of the plan are being complied with.

#### Decisions about investigation reports and further actions

After receiving an investigation report, the department will consider the report and decide whether to accept or refuse the report, or seek further information to inform its decision.

The department may:

- Require further information be provided within 10 business days of receiving the report. This request is made via an information request notice to the recipient.
- Extend the period for seeking information via a notice to the recipient within the 10 business days or at any time by agreement.
- Extend the timeframe for making its decision by issuing a notice to the recipient within 20 business days.
- Decide to accept or refuse the report within 20 business days after the investigation report or response to the information request was received or within the extended period.

If the department fails to decide whether to accept an investigation report within the relevant decision period, it is taken to be a decision by the department that it has refused to accept the report (s329 of the EP Act).

## Accept the report

If the department accepts the investigation report under s326G(4)(a), it may do one or more of the following:

- for a report (other than a report relating to a PRCP schedule), require the recipient to apply for the issue of a TEP for the activity;
- if the recipient is the holder of an EA/suspended EA or PRCP schedule, amend the conditions of the EA or PRCP schedule:
- issue an EEO to the recipient; or
- take any other action it considers appropriate.

The recipient is the person or holder of an EA/suspended EA who received the notice to conduct or commission an environmental evaluation.

#### **Recipient information**

If you have received an investigation notice or a notice to conduct or commission another environmental investigation, and would like more information on your rights, responsibilities and obligations, please refer to the *Environmental Investigations information sheet* (ESR/2023/6388).

## Penalties for non-compliance with an investigation notice

It is an offence to fail to comply with an investigation notice unless the person has a reasonable excuse.

It is an offence to fail to comply with a requirement to conduct or commission another environmental investigation and submit a report. For more information, please refer to the *Offences* section of this guideline.

## **Transitional environmental programs**

A transitional environmental program (TEP) is a specific program that sets out actions, requirements and conditions in relation to a particular activity, that would otherwise contravene the EP Act. When complied with, an approved TEP achieves compliance with the EP Act by doing one or more of the following:

- reducing environmental harm caused by the activity;
- detailing the transition of the activity to an environmental standard;
- detailing the transition of the activity to comply with:
  - o a condition (including a standard environmental condition) of an environmental authority (EA);
  - o a development condition;
  - o a prescribed condition for carrying out a small scale mining activity; or
  - o an agricultural ERA standard that applies to an agricultural ERA.

TEPs are a useful tool to use when it is known what needs to be done to achieve a solution to an environmental problem, and the solution is likely to take a long period of time. Therefore, a TEP is not considered appropriate where an emergency situation exists.

A TEP must not be used to achieve compliance with a progressive rehabilitation and closure plan (PRCP) schedule or an enforceable undertaking (EU). For more information in relation to EUs, refer to the *Enforceable Undertakings Statutory Guideline* (ESR/2016/2272).

The legislation regarding TEPs can be found in Chapter 7, Parts 3 and 4 (ss330-357) of the EP Act.

#### How is a TEP initiated?

A TEP can be initiated in the following ways:

- A person (you) or public authority can voluntarily submit a TEP application; or
- The department can issue a notice to a person (you) or a public authority requiring them to submit a TEP application; or
- A person (you) can give the department a program notice under s350 of the EP Act notifying of an
  action or a failure to act on your part that has caused or threatened environmental harm while carrying
  out an activity and declaring the person's intention to submit a TEP application for the activity.

The term 'person' includes an individual or corporation<sup>7</sup>. A public authority includes the following;

- an entity established under an Act;
- a government owned corporation; and
- Queensland Rail Limited ACN 132 181 090.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 32D of the Acts Interpretation Act 1954.

## When can the department require a TEP?

The department may require you or a public authority to submit a TEP application in the following circumstances:

- as a condition of an EA; or
- if the department is satisfied that:
  - an activity carried out, or proposed to be carried out, is causing, or may cause, unlawful environmental harm;
  - it is not practicable for you or a public authority to comply with an environmental protection policy (EPP) or regulation on its commencement;
  - o a condition of an EA or DA has been contravened;
  - o a prescribed condition for carrying out a small scale mining activity is, or has been, contravened; or
  - o an environmental protection order has been amended or withdrawn8.

## What is the effect of a TEP?

Once approved, a TEP gives you the ability to do, or not do, the thing under the TEP despite, and without being in contravention of:

- a regulation;
- an EPP;
- their EA or DA;
- · a prescribed condition (small scale mining activity); or
- an agricultural ERA standard.

## How will I know if I am required to submit a TEP application?

You will receive a written notice from the department if you are required to submit a TEP application. The written notice will state:

- the grounds on which the requirement is made;
- the matters to be addressed by the TEP;
- the period over which the TEP is to be carried out;
- the day (at least a reasonable period after the notice is given) by which the person or public authority must submit a TEP application; and
- the review or appeal details.

## What happens if I do not submit a TEP application after receiving a notice?

Failure to comply with a notice to submit a TEP application is an offence under the EP Act, unless you have a reasonable excuse. For more information, please refer to the *Penalties* section of this guideline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 332 of the EP Act.

## How do I apply for a TEP?

For more information on how to apply for a TEP, please refer to the <u>Transitional environmental programs</u> <u>guideline</u> (ESR/2023/6520).

#### Penalties and offences under the EP Act

## **Penalty infringement notices**

In accordance with the department's <u>Enforcement Guidelines</u> (ESR/2021/5549), the department may issue a penalty infringement notice (PIN), when applicable, for offences under the EP Act.

- The EP Act prescribes the penalty units of the offences against the sections of the EP Act the offence is associated with.
- The *Penalties and Sentences Act 1992*, administered by the Department of Justice and Attorney-General, provides the definition of a penalty unit and a legislative mechanism for annual indexation increases to the value of a penalty unit.
- Section 3 of the Penalties and Sentences Regulation 2015 prescribes the current monetary value of a penalty unit. The prescribed value increases on 1 July of each year.
- Schedule 1 of the State Penalties Enforcement Regulation 2014 prescribes the offences for which PINs
  can be issued and their corresponding penalty unit amounts used to calculate the fine.

For more information, visit the Queensland legislation website at <a href="www.legislation.qld.gov.au">www.legislation.qld.gov.au</a> and search for the abovementioned Acts and regulations.

## **Background**

PINs are a way of dealing with common contraventions of the law where the impacts are not serious enough for court action. For example, under the EP Act, PINs can be issued for offences such as failing to give a name and address to an AO or failure to comply with a statutory notice issued to take particular action.

The PIN system modifies the traditional legal system. A notice is served because it appears an offence has been committed; however, payment of the penalty does not lead to the recording of a criminal conviction. Non-payment of the fine is not dealt with by a jail sentence but is recoverable as a civil debt. On the other hand, if a person elects to have the matter heard, proceedings are commenced in the criminal jurisdiction of the Magistrates Court.

PINs can be issued by AOs. These can include AOs from organisations such as local governments and the department. The department has no direct control over how AOs from other organisations carry out their duties. However, for fairness and consistency, the department's AOs will in accordance with the PIN guidelines set out here.

#### Operation

PINs are designed primarily to deal with one-off contraventions that can be remedied easily. They are usually a first response when a preventable contravention is discovered. The department will not issue successive PINs for multiple contraventions unless the contraventions are related to different offences. In those circumstances, even though each contravention might be comparatively minor, it may indicate an underlying, potentially major and continuing compliance problem. Such a problem needs to be dealt with through other enforcement measures if a past PIN has not motivated the recipient to successfully address the underlying issue.

In accordance with the department's Enforcement Guidelines, the department may issue a PIN, when

applicable, for the offences noted against the compliance tools explained in this document.

The *State Penalties Enforcement Act 1999* gives the department the discretion to withdraw a PIN after serving the notice. This allows for two possibilities:

- one possibility is that a more serious breach of the law might have taken place without the authority's knowledge when the notice was issued. The notice can be withdrawn to allow the more serious breach to be pursued; or
- alternatively, a second possibility is that a mistake of fact was made and the notice should not have been issued. In such a case, the State Penalties Enforcement Regulation 2014 allows the authority to withdraw the notice, even if the penalty has been paid.

#### **Summary**

PINs are generally appropriate when the following conditions are met:

- where the breach is minor;
- where the facts are apparently indisputable;
- where the breach is a one-off situation easily remedied;
- when inspection discovers a breach that normal operating procedures should have prevented; and
- where the issuing of an infringement notice is likely to act as a deterrent.

PINs should not be issued in the following circumstances:

- child under the age of 17;
- where large-scale habitat or environmental damage has occurred;
- where the contravention is continuing and not within the alleged offender's ability to remedy quickly;
- where the penalty seems inadequate for the severity of the offence;
- where the extent of the harm to the environment cannot be assessed immediately;
- where the evidence is so controversial or insufficient that court action is unlikely to succeed;
- where there has been substantial delay since the alleged contravention;
- where another authority has issued a notice for the same or similar offence in the same period;
- where a notice, direction or order has been issued by the department to do specified work within a time limit and the limit has not expired;
- · where multiple contraventions have occurred, unless all are minor; or
- where the offence took place under a proposal approved by the department.

#### **Court orders**

Many of the Acts administered by the department provide a power to seek orders from a court to ensure compliance with legislative requirements. These orders may take a variety of forms, including declaratory orders, enforcement orders, restraint orders or orders resulting from a criminal prosecution.

Court orders are amongst the strongest enforcement tools available to the department and are only sought where other alternatives have failed or where the conduct is of such a serious nature that the department considers it necessary. Public interest considerations are considered by the department when deciding whether

court orders are appropriate.

#### **Declarations**

Where there is uncertainty regarding if an activity is unlawful in relation to the provisions of an Act administered by the department, the Act may provide an avenue to seek a declaration from the court. A declaration is a formal statement of legal rights enabling or disallowing an activity. Seeking a court declaration enables an activity to proceed with a clear statement of the legal situation.

#### **Restraint orders**

Under s505 of the EP Act, various parties (such as the Minister, the department, other affected parties or those granted leave of the court to apply) can apply to a court for a restraint order, where there is existing or potential unlawful activity that amounts to an actual, threatened or anticipated offence under the EP Act.

A court can grant a restraint order directing the defendant to do either of the following:

- stop an activity that is, or will be, a contravention of the EP Act; or
- do anything required to comply with the EP Act or stop the contravention under the EP Act.

The restraint order may also require any of the following:

- restrain the use of plant or equipment or a particular place;
- require the demolition or removal of plant or equipment, a structure or another relevant thing; or
- require the environment to be rehabilitated or restored.

When making a restraint order, the court will specify the time required for compliance with the order. The Court may also include an order for the defendant to pay the department's costs associated with monitoring the defendant's actions regarding the offence.

In order to stop frivolous or vexatious applications for restraint orders, the Court has the discretion to make an order in relation to costs.

It is an offence to contravene a restraint order. For more information, refer to the Offences section below.

Under s506 of the EP Act, the Court may grant a restraint order before the proceedings to decide the restraint order have been finalised, if the Court is satisfied it would be proper to make the order.

## Persistent offender order

Under s506A of the EP Act, the court may make any of the following orders if a person is convicted of a serious environmental offence, and the person has been convicted of the same or another serious environmental offence at least two other times in the past five years:

- an order prohibiting the person from carrying out a particular activity;
- an order prohibiting the person from carrying out a particular activity, except in particular circumstances;
- any other order the court considers appropriate.

It is an offence to contravene a persistent offender order. For more information, refer to the *Offences* section below.

## Offences

Section	Offence Description	
Agricultural ERAs		
82	Offence to contravene agricultural ERA standard  It is an offence for a person operating under an agricultural ERA standard, to contravene that agricultural standard.	If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units
85	Offence to give false or misleading tailored advice  If is an offence for an adviser providing tailored advice about carrying out an agricultural ERA to give advice that they know, or ought to reasonably know, is false or misleading in a material particular.	600 penalty units
Environme	ntal Evaluations	
325	Failure to comply with audit notice  It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with a notice to conduct an audit, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	300 penalty units
326D	Failure to comply with investigation notice It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with a notice to conduct or commission an environmental investigation, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	300 penalty units
3261	Failure to comply with notice to conduct or commission another environmental investigation  It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with a notice to conduct or commission another environmental investigation, within the period stated in the notice.	300 penalty units
574A	Direct or indirect financial interest  It is an offence for an auditor to perform a function mentioned in s568 if the auditor has a direct or indirect financial interest in a matter or thing relevant to the exercise of the function, other than any fee paid to the auditor for performing the function.	100 penalty units
574B	Failure to comply with approval It is an offence for an auditor to fail to comply with the conditions of any approval given under s571(1)(b), unless the auditor has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
574K	Failure to keep certificate of approval It is an offence not to keep a certificate of approval under section 573(2)(a) for the term of the approval, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
574L	Impersonation of auditor It is an offence to pretend to be an auditor.	100 penalty units
574M	False or misleading reports, certifications or declarations It is an offence for an auditor, in performing the auditor's functions, to make a report, provide a certification, or make a declaration about a report or certification, that the auditor knows, or ought reasonably to know, is false or misleading.	4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment

Section	Offence Description	
Transitional Environmental Programs (TEPs)		
332	Failure to comply with notice requiring an application for the issue of a TEP be submitted  It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with a notice to apply for the issue of a transitional environmental program, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
345	Failure to submit annual return for TEP  It is an offence for the holder of a transitional environmental program to fail to give the department an annual return in the approved form, within 22 business days after each anniversary of the approval.	100 penalty units
347	Failure to provide notice of disposal  347(2) – It is an offence for the holder of a prescribed transitional environmental program* to fail to provide written notice of the existence of the program to a buyer before agreeing to dispose of the place or business.	50 penalty units
	347(6) – It is an offence for the holder of a prescribed transitional environmental program* to fail to provide written notice of disposal to the department within 10 business days after agreeing to dispose of the place or business.  *Prescribed transitional environmental program means a transitional environmental	50 penalty units
240	program that doesn't relate to an environmental authority (EA)	
348	Failure to provide notice of ceasing activities  It is an offence for the holder of a transitional environmental program to fail to provide written notice to the department within 10 business days of ceasing to carry out the activity to which a transitional environmental program relates.	50 penalty units
357	Failure to comply with a Court order pending a TEP application decision  It is an offence for a person to contravene a Court order made pending a decision on a transitional environmental program application made in relation to a Program Notice.	If offence committed wilfully, 6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 4,500 penalty units
432	Failure to comply with a TEP  432(1) – It is an offence for the holder of a transitional environmental program, or a person acting under it, to wilfully contravene the program.	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	432(2) - It is an offence for the holder of a transitional environmental program, or a person acting under it, to contravene the program.	4,500 penalty units
433	Failure of TEP holder to ensure others comply It is an offence for a TEP holder to fail to ensure another person acting under the program complies with the program.	The relevant penalty under section 432 for contravention of program
Temporary Emissions Licences (TELs)		
3571	Failure to comply with conditions of TEL	If offence

Section	Offence Description	
	It is an offence for the holder of a temporary emissions licence, or a person acting under it, to fail to comply with conditions of the licence.	committed wilfully, 6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 4,500 penalty units
Environme (see Footn	ental Enforcement Orders (EEOs) <sup>9</sup> ote)	
369A	Failure to comply with EEO  369A(1) – It is an offence for the recipient of an environmental enforcement order to wilfully contravene a requirement of that order, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	If issued on a prescribed ground or under s362(2)(a), 6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 1,655 penalty units
	369A(2) – It is an offence for the recipient of an environmental enforcement order to contravene a requirement of that order, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	If issued on a prescribed ground or under s362(2)(a), 4,500 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 600 penalty units
369C	Failure to provide notice of disposal  369C(2) – It is an offence for the recipient of an environmental enforcement order to fail to provide written notice of the existence of the order to a buyer before agreeing to dispose of the place or business.	50 penalty units
	369C(6) – It is an offence for the recipient of an environmental enforcement order fail to provide written notice of disposal to the department within 10 business days after agreeing to dispose of the place or business.	50 penalty units
369D	Failure to provide notice of ceasing activities  It is an offence for the recipient of an environmental enforcement order to fail to provide written notice to the department within 10 business days of ceasing to carry out the activity to which the order relates.	50 penalty units
3691	Obstruction of recipient complying with EEO  It is an offence for a person to obstruct the recipient of an environmental enforcement order (or a person acting for the recipient of the order) in taking actions to comply with the order, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	165 penalty units
Contamina	ated Land	
394	Failure to prepare or commission a draft site management plan	300 penalty units

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> EEOs replaced Direction Notices, Clean-up Notices and Environmental Protection Orders following commencement of the *Environmental Protection (Powers and Penalties) and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2024*. For offences relating to Direction Notices, Clean-up Notices and Environmental Protection Orders, please refer to the previous version of this document (ESR/2016/2514).

Section	Offence Description	
	It is an offence for a prescribed responsible person who receives a notice to prepare or commission a draft site management plan for the relevant land not to comply with that notice.	
407	Owner to give notice to occupant or proposed occupant	
	407(2) – It is an offence for the owner of land (when a lease is in effect) to fail to give a lessee notice that the particulars of the land have been recorded in the contaminated land register, within 20 business days after the particulars have been recorded.	50 penalty units
	407(3) – It is an offence for the owner of that land to fail to give a proposed new lessee notice about the particulars recorded in the contaminated land register.	50 penalty units
408	Owner to give notice to proposed purchaser	
	It is an offence for the owner of land (that is contaminated land) to fail to provide written notice to a prospective buyer of the land, outlining the particulars of the contaminated land and any statutory notices or orders relating to the land.	50 penalty units
General En	vironmental Offences	
319	Contravention of general environmental duty (GED)	If offence
	It is an offence to contravene the general environmental duty in relation to an activity, and the contravention causes, or is likely to cause, serious or material environmental harm.	committed wilfully, 4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment Otherwise – 1,655 penalty units
319C	Failure to restore the environment	If offence
	It is an offence to contravene the duty to restore the environment, and the contravention relates to harm that is serious or material environmental harm.	committed wilfully, 4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment Otherwise – 1,655 penalty units
256	Failure to notify owners of transferred EA	
	It is an offence to fail to notify relevant land owners within 10 business days after an environmental authority (EA) has been transferred to new holders.	10 penalty units
260	Failure to comply with surrender notice	
	It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder to fail to comply with a surrender notice, unless the holder has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
285	Failure to submit rehabilitation auditors report	
	It is an offence for the holder of a PRCP schedule to fail to submit the rehabilitation auditors report and declaration to the department within 4 months after the end of each audit period.	100 penalty units
291	Failure to have plan of operations for petroleum activities	
	It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder to carry out, or allow the carrying out of, petroleum activities under a petroleum lease if the holder has not given the department a plan of operations that	100 penalty units

Section	Offence Description	
	complies with the Act.	
703	Failure to provide plan of operations for petroleum activities  It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder not to give the department a plan of operations for all relevant activities within 6 months after commencement of the activity.	100 penalty units
294	Failure to comply with plan of operations It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder to fail to comply with the plan of operations when carrying out the petroleum activity under the petroleum lease.	100 penalty units
295	Failure to amend plan of operations when inconsistent with EA  It is an offence to fail to amend a plan of operations within 15 business days after the holder became aware of the inconsistency between the plan of operations and the environmental authority (EA).	100 penalty units
302	Failure to apply for new ERC decision before expiry  It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder for a resource activity to fail to apply for a new ERC decision within the required time before the existing decision expires.	100 penalty units
303	Failure to comply with direction to re-apply for ERC decision  It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder for a resource activity to fail to comply with a direction to re-apply for an ERC decision.	100 penalty units
304	Failure to re-apply for ERC decision after relevant changes It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder for a resource activity to fail to re-apply for an ERC decision after relevant changes to the activity.	100 penalty units
316H	Failure to give amended rehabilitation planning part  It is an offence for the holder of a PRCP schedule to fail to review and amend the rehabilitation planning part of the holders PRC plan, and give a copy to the department after the PRCP schedule was amended.	100 penalty units
316IA	Failure to give annual return for EA  It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder to fail to give the department an annual return, when directed to by the department, unless the holder has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
316P	Failure to replace EA if non-compliant with eligibility criteria for the ERA standard  It is an offence for an environmental authority (EA) holder to fail to comply with a notice to make a site-specific EA application or an EA amendment application when non-compliant with the eligibility criteria for the relevant ERA standard.	4,500 penalty units
316PE	Disclosure of confidential information  It is an offence to disclose confidential information or give access to confidential information acquired through a public interest evaluation.	100 penalty units
316U	Disclosure of suitability report  It is an offence to disclose information acquired from, or give access to, a suitability report about another person or entity to anyone else.	100 penalty units

Section	Offence Description	
318X	Offences related to mobile and temporary ERA work diaries 318X(1) – It is an offence for a registered suitable operator to fail to keep a work diary in the approved form for a mobile and temporary ERA.	100 penalty units
	318X(3) – It is an offence for a registered suitable operator to fail to record the information required in the approved form within 1 day after vacating each location the mobile and temporary ERA is carried out, unless the operator has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
	318X(4) – It is an offence for a registered suitable operator to fail to keep the work diary for 2 years after the day the operator vacates the last location the mobile and temporary ERA was carried out, unless the operator has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
318Y	Failure to notify chief executive if work diary is lost or stolen	
	It is an offence for a registered suitable operator to fail to give written notice to the chief executive of the department within 7 business days of becoming aware of this fact, unless the operator has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
318YW	Failure to notify accredited persons about changes to accreditation	
	program  318YW(2) – It is an offence for the owner of an accreditation program (for an agricultural ERA) to fail to give written notice to each person accredited under the program within 5 business days of any amendment, suspension, cancellation or ending of the program taking effect.	100 penalty units
	318YW(4) – It is an offence for the owner of the accreditation program to fail to give the department a copy of the notice given under 318YW(2) and the names of each accredited person given the notice, within 5 business days of notice given accredited persons	100 penalty units
320B	Duty of employee to notify employer of environmental harm	
	It is an offence for an employee to fail to notify the employer within 24 hours of becoming aware of an event or fail to notify the department in writing if the employer cannot be contacted.	100 penalty units
320C	Duty to notify particular owners and occupiers of environmental	
	harm  320C(2) – It is an offence for a person to fail to give the department written notice of an event within 24 hours of becoming aware of the event, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	For an event under: s.320A(1)(a) – 500 penalty units
	320C(3) – It is an offence for a person to fail to provide written notice of the event to any occupier or registered owner of the affected land or provide public notice (where relevant) as soon as practical after becoming aware of the event, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	s.320A(1)(b) – 100 penalty units
320D	Duty of employer to notify particular owners and occupiers of environmental harm  320D(2) – It is an offence for an employer to fail to give the department written notice of an event within 24 hours of becoming aware of the event, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	For an event under: s.320A(1)(a) – 500 penalty units s.320A(1)(b) – 100 penalty units

Section	Offence Description	
	320D(3) – It is an offence for an employer to fail to provide written notice of the event to any occupier or registered owner of the affected land or provide public notice (where relevant) as soon as practical after becoming aware of the event, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	
320DA	Duty of owner, occupier or auditor to notify of environmental harm 320DA(1) – It is an offence for an owner, occupier or rehabilitation auditor to fail to provide written notice to the department within 24 hours of becoming aware of the presence or happening of an event involving hazardous contaminants, or a change in the condition of contaminated land, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	500 penalty units
	320DA(3) – It is an offence for an owner, occupier or rehabilitation auditor to fail to provide written notice to the department within 20 business days of becoming aware of a notifiable event, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	500 penalty units
426	Environmental authority required for particular ERAs It is an offence to carry out an environmentally relevant activity (ERA) unless the person holds, or is acting under, an environmental authority (EA).	4,500 penalty units
430	Contravention of condition of environmental authority 430(2) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully contravene a condition of an environmental authority (EA).	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	430(3) – It is an offence for a person to contravene a condition of an environmental authority (EA).	4,500 penalty units
431	EA holder responsible for ensuring conditions complied with  It is an offence for the holder of an environmental authority to fail to ensure persons acting under an authority comply with the conditions.	The relevant penalty under section 430 for contravention of conditions
431A	PRCP schedule required for particular ERAs  It is an offence for the holder of a site-specific environmental authority for mining activities relating to a mining lease, to carry out, or allow another person to carry out, the environmentally relevant activity (ERA) without a progressive rehabilitation and closure plan (PRCP) schedule for the activity.	4,500 penalty units
431B	Contravention of condition of PRCP schedule  431B(2) – It is an offence for the holder of a PRCP schedule, or a person acting under it, to wilfully contravene a condition of the PRCP schedule.	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	431B(3) – It is an offence for the holder of a PRCP schedule, or a person acting under it, to contravene a condition of the PRCP schedule.	4,500 penalty units
431C	Holder of PRCP schedule responsible for ensuring conditions of PRCP schedule complied with  It is an offence for the holder of a PRCP schedule to fail to ensure persons acting under a schedule comply with the conditions.	The relevant penalty under section 431B for contravention of conditions

Section	Offence Description	
434	Contravention of site management plan 434(1) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully contravene a site management plan.	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	434(2) – It is an offence for a person to contravene a site management plan.	4,500 penalty units
435A	Offence to contravene prescribed conditions for small scale mining activity  435A(2) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully contravene prescribed conditions for a small scale mining activity.	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	435A(3) – It is an offence for a person to contravene prescribed conditions for a small scale mining activity.	4,500 penalty units
437	Offence of causing serious environmental harm 437(1) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully and unlawfully cause serious environmental harm.	6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment
	437(2) – It is an offence for a person to unlawfully cause serious environmental harm.	4,500 penalty units
438	Offence of causing material environmental harm 438(1) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully and unlawfully cause material environmental harm.	4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
	438(2) – It is an offence for a person to unlawfully cause material environmental harm.	1,665 penalty units
440	Offence of causing environmental nuisance 440(1) – It is an offence for a person to wilfully and unlawfully cause an environmental nuisance.	1,665 penalty units
	440(2) – It is an offence for a person to unlawfully cause an environmental nuisance.	600 penalty units
440Q	Offence of contravening a noise standard  It is an offence for a person to unlawfully contravene a noise standard.	If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units
597	Failure to comply with reminder notice  It is an offence for a transitional authority holder to fail to comply with a reminder notice to amend, surrender or transfer a transitional authority, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
601	Failure to pay annual fee  It is an offence for a transitional authority holder not to pay the administering authority the appropriate annual fee, other than in a circumstance prescribed under a regulation, and provide an annual return in the approved form.	100 penalty units
699	Failure to provide financial assurance It is an offence for an environmental authority holder to which a requirement applies to carry out the relevant activity under the	4,500 penalty units

Section	Offence Description	
	environmental authority until financial assurance is given.	
Authorised	d Officer Powers	
482	Obstruction of an authorised person  It is an offence to obstruct an authorised person in the exercise of their powers under the Act unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	165 penalty units
483	Impersonation of an authorised person It is an offence to impersonate an authorised person.	50 penalty units
471	Failure to comply with signal It is an offence for a person to fail to obey a signal under section 459(2) to stop or not to move a vehicle, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
472	Failure to comply with requirements about vehicles  472(2) – It is an offence to fail to give reasonable help to an authorised person to enter or board a vehicle (when required under section 459(3)) unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
	472(3) – It is an offence for a person to fail to take required action in relation to a vehicle, (when required under section 460(1)(i)) unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
473	Failure to help an authorised person in an emergency It is an offence to fail to provide reasonable help to an authorised person (when required under section 460(1)(h)) to exercise emergency powers unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	100 penalty units
474	Failure to help authorised person  It is an offence to fail to provide reasonable help to an authorised person (when required under section 460(1)(h)) to exercise their powers unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
475	Failure to give name and address  475(1) – It is an offence for a person to fail to provide an authorised person with that person's name and address (when required under section 464(1)) unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
	475(2) – It is an offence for a person to fail to provide an authorised person with evidence of the correctness of that person's name and address (when required under section 464(3)) unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units
476	Failure to attend, answer questions or nominate representative  It is an offence to fail to comply with a requirement to answer questions, nominate a corporation's representative or attend a stated reasonable place at a stated reasonable time to answer questions (when required under section 465), unless the person has a reasonable excuse.  NOTE: It is not a reasonable excuse for an individual to fail to answer a question that complying with the requirement might tend to incriminate the individual.	50 penalty units
477	Failure to produce documents	50 penalty units

Section	Offence Description	
	It is an offence to fail to produce a document required under section 466, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	
480	False or misleading documents  It is an offence to give the administering authority or an authorised person a document that the person knows, or ought to reasonably know, contains false or misleading information.	4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
480(A)	Incomplete documents  It is an offence to give the administering authority or an authorised person a document that the person knows, or ought to reasonably know, contains incomplete information.	4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
481	False or misleading information  It is an offence for a person to state anything to an authorised person that is false or misleading, or omit from a statement to an authorised person anything that would make the statement false or misleading.	4,500 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
Emergency	Directions	
478	Failure to comply with an emergency direction  It is an offence for a person to whom an emergency direction is given to fail to comply with the direction, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	If offence committed wilfully, 6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 4,500 penalty units
Restraint O	rders	
505	Failure to comply with an order  It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with an order made by the Court.	3,000 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
506	Failure to comply with an order pending determination of proceedings  It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with an order made by the Court pending determination of proceedings.	3,000 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
506A	Failure to comply with an order against persistent offenders It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with an order made by the Court prohibiting the person from carrying out a particular activity.	3,000 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment
Enforceable	e Undertakings	
513	Failure to comply with an enforceable undertaking It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with an enforceable undertaking made by that person that is in effect.	If offence committed wilfully, 6,250 penalty units or 5 years imprisonment Otherwise – 4,500 penalty units
Offences related to water contamination		
440ZG(a)	It is an offence to unlawfully deposit a prescribed water contaminant in waters, or in a roadside gutter or stormwater drainage, or at another	If offence committed wilfully,

Section	Offence Description				
	place that may go into an adjacent roadside gutter or stormwater drainage.	1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units			
440ZG(b)	It is an offence to unlawfully release stormwater run-off into waters, a roadside gutter or stormwater drainage that results in earth build-up.	If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units			
Offences related to air contamination					
440ZL(1)	It is an offence to sell solid fuel-burning equipment for use in a residential premises unless a certificate of compliance has been issued for the equipment and a plate(s) has been attached to the equipment under the prescribed standard.	If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units			
440ZL(2)	s an offence to fail to attach a plate(s) to the equipment before selling transferring the equipment to another person if the person is the unufacturer and an accredited entity issues a certificate of compliance solid fuel-burning equipment.  If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units				
440ZL(3)	It is an offence to use, or transfer to another person, certified equipment if the person knows a plate attached to the equipment has been defaced, altered or removed or there has been a material modification or alteration of other related equipment.	If offence committed wilfully, 1,665 penalty units Otherwise – 600 penalty units			
440ZM(1)	It is an offence for a person to knowingly use, in stationary fuel-burning equipment, liquid fuel containing more than the permitted concentration of sulfur.	600 penalty units			
440ZM(2)	If is an offence for a distributor to distribute or sell liquid fuel containing more than the permitted concentration of sulfur to another person unless authorised.  If offence committed wilf 1,665 penalty to Otherwise – 6 penalty unit				
Offences related to fuel standards					
440ZQ(1)	It is an offence for a person who manufactures or imports fuel to supply the fuel in the State if the fuel does not comply with a Commonwealth fuel determination standard (other than the supply of fuel supplied for use in a motor vehicle used only for motor racing on a racing circuit or track under a registration certificate for that activity).	165 penalty units			
440ZR(2)	It is an offence for a person who manufactures or imports fuel to supply the fuel in the low volatility zone in the summer period if the Reid vapour pressure of the fuel is more than 76kPa, where the ethanol content of the fuel is more than 9% but not more than 10% by volume.	our 165 panelty units			
440ZR(3)	an offence for a person who manufactures or imports fuel to fail to ure that, for each summer month, the volumetric monthly average I vapour pressure of the fuel supplied by the person in the low tility zone is not more than 74kPa, where the ethanol content of the is more than 9% but not more than 10% by volume.				

Section	Offence Description		
440ZS(2)	It is an offence for a person who manufactures or imports fuel to supply the fuel in the low volatility zone in the summer period if the Reid vapour pressure of the fuel is more than 69kPa.		
440ZS(3)	It is an offence for a person who manufactures or imports fuel to fail to ensure that, for each summer month, the volumetric monthly average Reid vapour pressure of the fuel supplied by the person in the low volatility zone is not more than 67kPa.	165 penalty units	
440ZY(2)	It is an offence to fail to keep records (for 2 years after the supply of the fuel) relating to fuel that is prescribed under a regulation by a person who manufactures or imports the fuel in relation to fuel supplied in the State, if a Commonwealth fuel standard determination applies to the fuel and the person is not required to keep records for the supply of the fuel under s66 of the <i>Fuel Quality Standards Act 2000</i> (Cth).		
Other offend	ces		
442(1)	It is an offence to release, or cause to be released, a prescribed contaminant into the environment, other than under an authorised person's emergency direction.		
443	It is an offence to cause or allow a contaminant to be placed in a position where it could reasonably be expected to cause serious or material environmental harm.		
443A	It is an offence to cause or allow a contaminant to be placed in a position where it could reasonably be expected to cause environmental nuisance.	re it could reasonably be expected to cause environmental committed wilfully,	
444	It is an offence to interfere with any monitoring equipment used under the Act or a development condition of a development approval.	165 penalty units	
470	It is an offence for a person to fail to comply with a notice given under section 451, unless the person has a reasonable excuse.	50 penalty units	
484	It is an offence to attempt to commit an offence under the Act.	Half the maximum penalty for committing the offence	
493	If a corporation commits an offence against a provision of this Act, each of the executive officers of the corporation also commits an offence, namely, the offence of failing to ensure the corporation complies with this Act.	The penalty for the contravention of the provision by an individual	
579D	It is an offence to disclose confidential information obtained about another person in administering, or performing functions or exercising powers under, this Act to anyone else, or use this information, unless s316PE or s318U applies to the person.		

#### Disclaimer

While this document has been prepared with care, it contains general information and does not profess to offer legal, professional or commercial advice. The Queensland Government accepts no liability for any external decisions or actions taken on the basis of this document. Persons external to the department should satisfy themselves independently and by consulting their own professional advisors before embarking on any proposed course of action.

Approved by: Enquiries:

Director: Bryce Hertslet Permit and Licence Management

18 June 2024 Phone: Ph: 13 QGOV (13 74 68)

Email: palm@des.qld.gov.au

## Version history

Version	Date	Description of changes
1.00	18 June 2024	Initial Upload. New document due to the previous version availability online for a transitional period (previous version ESR/2016/2514). New version of this document has been undertaken due to changes by the <i>Environmental Protection (Powers and Penalties) and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2024</i> . New enforcement tool introduced, a number of enforcement tools deleted and new duties and offences incorporated.